

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,718	05/15/2007	Ernst V. Arnold	065611-0119	1962
22428 7590 11/21/2007 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			SUTTON, DARRYL C	
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,, = 0 = 00007		1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/588,718	ARNOLD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
	Darryl C. Sutton	4133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		AONTH(S) OR THIRTY (20) DAVS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. Properiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>08 August 2006</u> .					
· · ·						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement					
O/EX Claim(s) 1-52 are subject to restriction a	naror cicotion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
	the Examiner. Note the attache					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	umanta haya baan ragaiyad					
1. Certified copies of the priority doc2. Certified copies of the priority doc		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the						
application from the International		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		t received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 4133

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazenium diolate compound attached to one phenyl-containing polymer wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate, wherein said composition releases NO and does not generate nitrosamines under physiological conditions.

Group II, claim(s) 1, 2, 6, 37 and 49-51, drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazeniumdiolate compound attached to one phenyl-containing polymer wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is part of the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate, wherein said composition releases NO and does not generate nitrosamines under physiological conditions.

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

Group III, claim(s) 1-4, 6-14, 18-32, 37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazeniumdiolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate and where R₁ is an ether.

Group IV, claim(s) 1-4, 6-13, 18-32, 37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazeniumdiolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate and where R₁ is a cyano.

Group V, claim(s) 1-4, 6-13, 15,18-32, 37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazeniumdiolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate and where R₁ is a thioether.

Group VI, claim(s) 1-4, 6-13, 16-32, 37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazenium diolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate and where R_1 is a non-enamine amine.

Group VII, claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 13, 14, 18-37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazenium diolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is part of the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thio imidate and where R₁ is an ether.

Group VIII, claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 13, 18-37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazenium diolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thio imidate and where R₁ is a cyano.

Group IX, claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 13, 15, 18-37 and 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a C-based diazenium diolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thio imidate and where R₁ is a thio ester.

10/588,718

Art Unit: 4133

Group X, claim(s) 1-3, 5, 6, 13, 16-37, 49-51 drawn to a composition comprising a Cbased diazenium diolate compound attached to at least one phenyl-containing polymer and having the formula of claim 3 wherein said polymer comprises a polymer backbone and said phenyl is pendant from the backbone and wherein said compound is not an imidate or thioimidate and where R₁ is a non-enamine amine.

Group XI, claim(s) 38-40 drawn to a method for delivering bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal quantities of NO to mammalian tissue.

Group XII, claim(s) 41, 44-46 drawn to a method of reducing or eliminating a pathogen in stored human platelets.

Group XIII, claim(s) 42 and 43 drawn to a method of storing blood platelets that prevents platelet activation in a platelet suspension.

Group XIV, claim(s) 47 and 48 drawn to a method of treating an animal comprising introducing an effective amount of a pharmaceutical carrier and a nitric oxide-releasing composition.

Group XV, claim(s) 52 drawn to medical device wherein all the parts of the device comprises a nitric oxide-releasing polymer.

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI and Groups VII-X do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Groups I-VI are drawn to compounds comprising a phenyl-containing polymer where the phenyl is pendant from the backbone, whereas Groups VII-X are drawn to compounds comprising a phenyl-containing polymer where the phenyl is part of the backbone.

The inventions listed as Groups XI and Groups XII, XIII, XIV and XV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group XI drawn to delivering a bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal quantities of NO to mammalian tissue, whereas Group XII is drawn to reducing or eliminating pathogens in human platelets stored in a storage container. Group XIII is drawn to a method of storing blood platelets that prevents platelet activation, therefore Groups XI and XIII lack a common technical feature because one of ordinary skill would not reasonable expect the method of delivering a bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal quantity of NO to mammalian tissue to be the same as preventing platelet activation in a storage container. Group XIV is drawn to treating an animal, therefore Group XI and XIV lack a common technical feature because one of ordinary skill would not reasonably expect the method of delivering a bacteriostatic or bacteriocidal quantities of NO to mammalian tissue to be the same as treating an animal, since an animal is a much more complex organism than mammalian tissue or an organ.

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

The inventions listed as Groups XII and Groups XIII, XIV and XV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group XII is drawn to reducing or eliminating pathogens in human platelets stored in a storage container, whereas Group XIII is drawn to a method of storing human platelets that prevents platelet activation, therefore Groups XII and XIII lack a common technical feather because one of ordinary skill would not reasonably expect the methods for reducing or eliminating pathogens from human platelets to be the same as preventing platelet activation. Group XIV is drawn to treating an animal, therefore Groups XII and XIV lack a common technical feather because one of ordinary skill would not reasonably expect the method of eliminating pathogens in stored human platelets to be the same as treating an animal. Group XV is drawn to a medical device, wherein the entire device comprises a nitric oxide-releasing polymer, therefore Groups XII and XV lack a common technical feature because one of ordinary skill would not reasonably expect the medical device to be used in storing human platelets so that pathogens are eliminated.

The inventions listed as Groups XIII and Groups XIV, and XV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group XIII is drawn to a method of storing human platelets that prevents

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

platelet activation, whereas Group XIV is drawn to treating an animal. Groups XIII and XIV lack a common technical feature because one of ordinary skill would not reasonable expect a method of storing human platelets that prevents platelet activation to be the same as a method for treating an animal. Group XV is drawn to a medical device, wherein all of he device comprises a nitric oxide-releasing polymer, therefore Groups XIII and XV lack a common technical feature because on of ordinary skill would not reasonably expect the storage of human platelets that prevents platelet activation to be accomplished by the medical device.

The inventions listed as Groups XIV and Groups XV and XVI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Group XIV is drawn to treating an animal with a nitric oxide-releasing polymeric composition and a pharmaceutical carrier, whereas Group XV is drawn to a medical device comprised completely of nitric oxide-releasing polymer. Groups XIV and XV lack a common technical feature because one of ordinary skill would not reasonable expect the medical device to release nitric oxide to treat the animal in the same way as the nitric oxide-releasing polymeric composition and a pharmaceutical carrier of the method of Group XIV.

The inventions listed as Groups I-X and XI-XV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Since the application contains distinct compositions Groups I-X, and distinct methods Groups XI-XV one of ordinary skill would not expect the distinct compositions to be able to perform the distinct methods in the same way as the methods are claimed in the instant application.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

(a) moiety that is substituted on phenyl, (b) ether moiety, (c) R₂ (protecting group or counteraction) (d) polymer, (e) polymer 1 and polymer 2, (f) medical device, and (g) position of attachment of the C-based diazenium diolate on phenyl group.

If the applicant elects Groups I, III-V and XI-XV then an election of **each** of (a) moiety that is substituted on phenyl, (c) species of R₂, (d) polymer, (f) a medical device and (g) the position of the attachment of the C-based diazenium diolate to the phenyl group must also be made.

If the applicant elects Groups II, VII-X and XI-XV then an election of **each** of (a) moiety substituted on phenyl, (b) ether moiety, (c) R₂ (protecting group or counteraction), and **either** (d) polymer, or (e) polymer 1 and polymer 2, (f) a medical device and (g) the position of the attachment of the C-based diazenium diolate to the phenyl group must also be made.

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

Each substituent and its position on the elected species must be disclosed.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-52.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the moieties that can be substituted onto the phenyl group will impart specific characteristics to the compound; the ether moieties will impart specific characteristics to the compound; the protecting groups will serve to protect the molecule, while the counter ion can induce chemical reactions; each of the polymers is distinct and adds distinct characteristics to the molecule; the position of the attachment of the C-based diazeniumdiolate to the phenyl group can effect the function of the composition.

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 4133

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

10/588,718 Art Unit: 4133

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darryl C. Sutton whose telephone number is (571)270-3286. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30AM-5:00PM EST and on Fr from 7:30AM-4:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker can be reached on M-Th from 8:00AM-4:00PM at (571)272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

DCS

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER